

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,701	10/27/2005	Alexandra Brand	12810-00159-US1	9514	
23416 CONNOLLY	7590 05/01/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2207			NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O		
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/554,701	BRAND ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHUKWUMA O. NWAONICHA	1621	

	CHUKWUMA O. NWAONICHA	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 March2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Openiods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		L bolow),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>	:						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7.  ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:	(1 10/06/00) 1 apel 10(s).						
<del>-</del> —							

/Sikarl A. Witherspoon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendment filed 16 March 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuavier because Applicants catalyst and the processing preparing metry mercaptans with the catalyst would have been suggested to one of ordinary skill in view of the teachings of the prior art references cited. Applicants' argument is not convincing because one of ordinary skill in the air would have a reasonable expectation of success in equalizing different fillutions (concentrations) of the catalyst composition to produce a catalyst system with pH values from 5-10 based on the teachings of the prior art references cited.